Miles	ENTIAL PROTECTION
A STRON	Van
FLC	ORIDA
	**

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0250546 DATE: <u>2/17/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:20 PM</u> DEPART: <u>12:50 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: QUICKCRETE READY MIX,	INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION: 9150 NW 87 AVE						
MEDLEY 33178						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: S	SANTOS MUNOZ PHONE: (305)887-3250					
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/22/2008 / 3/21/2 (effective date) (end dat						
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
 62-297, F.A.C.)?	this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Xerometric Standard Standard				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?				
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No 				
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xest Completed Xest Completed				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠ only one box.*)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processiplants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

FRANK DELGADO

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

2/17/2010

Date of Inspection

2/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM THE FACILITY. THIS FACILITY HAS ONE SILO WITH A DUST COLLECTOR. THE TRUCK LOADOUT HAS IT'S OWN DUST COLLECTOR. BILL ARLINGTON CONDUCTED VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVATIONS ON FEBRUARY 12, 2010. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.